

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,568	10/15/2003	Lasse Wesseltoft Mogensen	8465/40	7139
	7590 07/02/2007 ER GILSON & LIONE	•	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10395			MACNEILL, ELIZABETH	
CHICAGO, IL	60610		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•				ヒ			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/687,568	MOGENSEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elizabeth R. MacNeill	3767				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte afte - If No - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DESCRIPTION OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS; cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 J	<u>une 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims						
application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40,51,53,56,58,59 and 61-64,</u>	32-36,38,39,41-43,50,52,54,5	55,57 and 60 is/are pending in t	he			
-pp	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40,51,53,56,58,59 and 61-64</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-36,38,39,41-43,50,52,54,55,57 and 60</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	.		n.			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			1).			
	·	varianci. Note the attached e	, moe / (out)				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in App prity documents have been re tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachme	nt(s)						
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) over No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/07.		Mail Date rmal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/687,568

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendments submitted 7 June 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 32-36, 38,39, 41-43,50,52,54,55,57,60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Romaine (US 4,270,537) in view of Brownfield (US 5,681,283).

Romaine teaches a molded device housing (20,46), cover (20) a molded plunger (12), a lock (34), a drive (44) including a flexible plastic member. Figs 1 and 2. Romaine does not teach that the drive includes multiple flexible plastic members.

Brownfield teaches a device which includes multiple flexible plastic members (9) and is similar in operation to the device of Romaine, but does not contain a lock. Fig 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the dual members of Brownfield on the device of Romaine in order to prevent torque from being applied to the plunger, thereby improving the operation of the syringe.

As to claims 33-35,52 Romaine 44; claim 36 is a product by process claim and is given little patentable weight; claim 38,39 needle 16; claim 41-43,65 engagement areas 38; claim 50,57 element 26; claim 54, Brownfield 3;

Application/Control Number: 10/687,568

Art Unit: 3767

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 40,51,53,56,58,59,61-64 allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-36, 38,39, 41-43,50,52,54,55,57,60 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth R. MacNeill whose telephone number is (571)-272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,568 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ERM

Madllu

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Recen Summer